Impact of Background Parenchymal Enhancement in Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging Breast Assessment for Women with Newly Diagnosed Breast Cancer
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To determine the influence of background parenchymal enhancement (BPE) in preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) breast assessment and its impact on subsequent management for patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer.

Methods: Consecutive patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer undergoing breast MRI examination for staging from January 2010 to July 2013 were retrospectively reviewed. The BPE categories of minimal, mild, moderate, or marked intensity (Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System MRI lexicon definitions) were retrospectively reported. Associations with abnormal interpretation rates (which defined as detection of additional findings other than the known malignant lesions that render clinical attention), presence of non-mass enhancement, mean number of additional breast masses, biopsy rates and cancer yield of additional lesions, mastectomy rates, and positive specimen margin rates for patients undergoing conservative breast treatment were compared using statistical tests.

Results: Of the 95 preoperative breast MRI examinations, women with marked enhancement had significantly higher additional abnormal interpretation rates (100.0%), rate of non-mass enhancement (85.7%), and mean number of additional breast masses (2.6 lesions per study) than those respective rates in women with moderate (65.0%; 35.0%; 1.2), mild (52.3%; 31.8%; 0.9), or minimal (41.7%; 20.8%; 0.3) enhancement (p < 0.05). No significant difference in other parameters among different BPE categories was observed.

Conclusions: Increased BPE on preoperative breast MRI was associated with higher abnormal interpretation rate, higher rates of non-mass enhancement, and an increased mean number of additional breast masses in women with known carcinoma of the breasts. However, it did not lead to significant difference in the subsequent management among the enhancement categories.

Key Words: Breast neoplasms; Magnetic resonance imaging

中文摘要

術前磁共振乳房評估中背景實質強化對乳腺癌患者的影響

溫詠雪、李芷茵、呂振英

目的：找出背景實質強化（BPE）對於術前磁共振成像（MRI）乳房評估以及對新診斷的乳腺癌患
INTRODUCTION

The National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Panel in 1990 concluded that breast conservation is the preferred method of primary surgical therapy for women with early stage breast cancer. Since then, preoperative breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has taken an important role in detecting occult, synchronous breast cancer and in staging the local extent of the cancer for potential candidates for breast-conserving surgery. The detection of occult malignancy in preoperative MRI determines a patient’s eligibility for breast-conserving surgery. Since identification of a lesion is based on the differential enhancement between neoplastic tissue and normal breast parenchyma, problem arises when the appearance of the enhancement of the normal breast tissue overlaps with that of neoplastic tissue. We hypothesised that background parenchymal enhancement (BPE) may decrease the sensitivity of breast MRI by obscuring enhancing malignancies, thus, underestimating the extent of disease causing higher rate of positive margin. It may also diminish the specificity since benign enhancement may be misinterpreted as suspicious, leading to potential change of the surgical approach for resection of breast cancer.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, no study has been published assessing the influence of BPE on the detection of additional lesions in preoperative breast MRI and their subsequent management in terms of biopsy / surgical options after preoperative MRI of the breasts. Therefore, the purpose of our study was to determine the influence of BPE on preoperative breast MRI assessment and its impact on surgical management of patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer.

METHODS

Patients
Consecutive patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer or highly suspicious breast lesions that were subsequently shown to be malignant on histology undergoing breast MRI examinations for staging from January 2010 to July 2013 were retrospectively reviewed. Patients were required to be at least 18 years old, not having a history of breast implant, and not undergoing neoadjuvant treatment.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging Technique
All participants underwent contrast-enhanced dynamic breast MRI of both breasts. This was performed in prone position with a 1.5 T system (Achieva XR; Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands) using a dedicated breast surface coil. The following axial images were obtained: T1- and T2-weighted sequences with turbo spin echo (TSE), T2-weighted sequences with spectral-attenuated inversion recovery TSE and apparent diffusion coefficient. T1-weighted images were obtained before and after contrast injection at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 minutes.
mild, moderate, or marked intensity (BI-RADS MRI lexicon definitions) were retrospectively reported (Figure 1). Associations with presence of non-mass enhancement (other than the index lesion), mean number of additional breast masses per patient (masses other than the index cancer, clinically and mammographically occult, with probably benign or suspicious features on MRI, measuring >0.5 cm in diameter), abnormal interpretation rates (identification of occult breast mass or non-mass enhancement in addition to the index cancer), biopsy rates of the newly identified lesions detected on preoperative MRI, cancer yield of biopsied lesions (defined as cancers resulting from additional biopsy), mastectomy rates of patients and positive specimen margin rates for patients undergoing breast conservative treatment in different BPE category were compared using chi-square tests. The significant value was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Of the 95 preoperative breast MRI examinations, the overall frequencies of BPE categories were 25.3%

![Figure 1. Sagittal contrast-enhanced T1-weighted fat-suppressed magnetic resonance images showing (a) minimal, (b) mild, (c) moderate, and (d) marked breast parenchymal enhancement.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BPE category</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>No. of studies with abnormal interpretation (rates)</th>
<th>No. of studies with non-mass enhancement (rates)</th>
<th>Mean No. of additional breast masses per study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10 (41.7%)</td>
<td>5 (20.8%)</td>
<td>0.3 (8/24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23 (52.3%)</td>
<td>14 (31.8%)</td>
<td>0.9 (40/44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13 (65.0%)</td>
<td>7 (35.0%)</td>
<td>1.2 (24/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marked</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 (100.0%)</td>
<td>6 (85.7%)</td>
<td>2.6 (18/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Abnormal interpretation (identification of occult breast mass or non-mass enhancement in addition to the index cancer), non-mass enhancement (other than index tumour), and mean number of additional masses (masses other than the index lesion, clinically and mammographically occult, with probably benign or suspicious features on MRI, measuring >0.5 cm in diameter) detected by preoperative MRI according to enhancement categories.

Abbreviations: BPE = background parenchymal enhancement; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.
for minimal (n = 24), 46.3% for mild (n = 44), 21.1% for moderate (n = 20), and 7.4% for marked (n = 7) enhancement. Women with marked enhancement had a significantly higher abnormal interpretation rate (100%) than women with moderate (65.0%), mild (52.3%), or minimal (41.7%) enhancement (p < 0.05) [Table 1].

The rates of non-mass enhancement were significantly higher for women in the marked BPE category (85.7%) than those for women in the moderate (35.0%), mild (31.8%), or minimal (20.8%) category (p < 0.05). The same was true for the mean number of additional breast masses detected in preoperative MRI, with significantly more lesions per study in the marked BPE category (2.6 lesions per study), compared with moderate (1.2), mild (0.9), or minimal (0.3) categories (p < 0.05) [Figure 2]. Eight lesions in the minimal or mild BPE category and one lesion in marked or moderate BPE category were biopsied; the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.1441). The overall cancer yield for additional cancers identified in preoperative MRI from biopsy was 22% (n=2/9), with one positive biopsy from a lesion in the mild BPE category and one from the moderate BPE category.

Mastectomy rates were not affected by BPE, with 37.0% of women in the marked or moderate BPE category and 32.4% in the minimal or mild BPE category (p = 0.66) [Table 2]. The rates of positive specimen margin in women receiving breast conservative treatment were also not affected by BPE, with 16.7% in the marked or moderate BPE category and 15.2% in the minimal or mild BPE category (p = 0.90).

**DISCUSSION**

BPE has diffuse and nodular patterns. It is found to be associated with endogenous hormone levels corresponding to the phases of the menstrual cycle, being highest during weeks 1 and 4 and lowest during week 2.7,8 It is believed to be related to the increased vascular permeability associated with oestrogen and the elevated metabolic activity associated with progesterone.9

Previous studies have found that BPE was influenced by age, with women younger than 50 years having more extensive BPE versus that in older women.10 There is no association between BPE and amount of fibroglandular tissue.11

Our study found that increased BPE on preoperative breast MRI was associated with higher additional lesion detection rate on MRI in women with known carcinoma of the breasts. It, however, did not lead to a statistically significant change in the surgical approach for resection of breast cancer and the positive margin rate with breast conservation. Our results were similar to those by DeMartini et al.,10 whose study included a significant number of screening MRIs in high-risk patients rather than focusing on preoperative MRI. They showed a higher number of women in the moderate or marked BPE group initially assessed as having abnormal findings than those in the mild or minimal BPE group, with the final outcome of positive biopsy rate and cancer yield not being significantly different between the BPE groups. Our results are encouraging to the breast surgeons and breast radiologists who utilise breast MRI for preoperative planning.

There were some limitations in this study. First, the biopsy rate of the additional lesions detected on preoperative MRI was low. It was because many of the

**Figure 2.** An illustration of moderate background enhancement in a 40-year-old woman with known ductal carcinoma in-situ in the upper inner quadrant of left breast (arrow). Several additional enhancing lesions are noted in bilateral breasts. This patient underwent left mastectomy and revealed unifocal disease. There was no evidence of malignancy in the contralateral breast upon 2-year follow-up.

**Table 2.** Rates of mastectomy and positive specimen margin in patients undergoing breast conservative treatment according to enhancement categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BPE category</th>
<th>No. of mastectomy</th>
<th>No. of positive margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimal / mild</td>
<td>22/68 (32.4%)</td>
<td>5/33 (15.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate / marked</td>
<td>10/27 (37.0%)</td>
<td>2/12 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: BPE = background parenchymal enhancement.
patients had mastectomy planned after the preoperative MRI either due to extensive disease involvement, patient anxiety for fear of leaving a lesion behind or presence of multiple lesions. Therefore, biopsies were obviated. Second, it was conducted in a single centre with fellowship-trained specialists experienced in breast MRI interpretation. Therefore, our results may not be generalisable to all radiological centres. Third, our study focused on the impact of the management plan; long-term outcomes such as recurrence rate and survival rate were not studied.

CONCLUSIONS

Increased BPE on preoperative breast MRI was associated with higher abnormal interpretation rate, higher rates of non-mass enhancement, and an increased mean number of additional breast masses in women with known carcinoma of the breasts. It, however, did not lead to significant difference in biopsy rates, mastectomy rates, or the rates of positive specimen margin among the enhancement categories.
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