Ultra-low-dose Computed Tomography in Management of Pulmonary Abscess Caused by Cystic Fibrosis
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ABSTRACT
Pulmonary abscess is a complication of cystic fibrosis. Model-based iterative reconstruction is a reconstructive algorithm that can substantially reduce the radiation dose of computed tomography to that of radiography while maintaining diagnostic quality. This study reports the use of ultra-low-dose computed tomography with model-based iterative reconstruction in monitoring resolution of a pulmonary abscess caused by cystic fibrosis.
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CASE REPORT
In February 2013, a 36-year-old woman with a history of cystic fibrosis presented with cough, dyspnoea, and fever. Physical examination demonstrated generalised crepitation on auscultation. Her white cell count and C-reactive protein level were elevated to 17.6 (reference range, 4.5-11.0) × 109/L and 330 (reference range, 0-80) mg/L, respectively. A diagnosis of infective exacerbation of cystic fibrosis was made.

Initial chest radiograph revealed generalised bronchiectasis and scarring (in keeping with cystic
fibrosis) and an area of consolidation in the left lower lobe with a probable cavity (Figure 1). Ultra-low-dose computed tomography (CT) with scanning parameters of 100 kVp, 10 mA, 0.625-mm collimation, and 0.4-s rotation revealed a thick-walled pulmonary abscess with central cavitation within the area of consolidation in the left lower lobe (Figure 2). The CT images were reconstructed using model-based iterative reconstruction (MBIR). The radiation dose was 0.065 mSv, which is comparable to that of chest radiography.

Follow-up with ultra-low-dose CT at 2 weeks, 1 month, and 3 months showed gradual improvement and resolution of the pulmonary abscess (Figure 3), which would not be easily appreciated on plain radiography due to the severe degree of overlying chronic lung changes.

DISCUSSION
Chest radiography is often the initial investigation tool of choice to evaluate patients with cystic fibrosis. Nonetheless, CT is superior to radiography in detecting and characterising lung diseases and pleural pathology, and is a valuable tool for monitoring disease progression.\textsuperscript{4-7} CT is more sensitive than radiography for detection of pulmonary infection (89\% vs. 58\%).\textsuperscript{5} In an emergency setting, only 43.5\% of patients with pulmonary opacities detected on CT were also detected on radiography.\textsuperscript{6} Nonetheless, CT has higher radiation exposure than radiography (7.00 vs. 0.05 mSv); the appropriateness of CT as a diagnostic and monitoring tool should be determined on a case-by-case basis.\textsuperscript{7}

In low-dose CT, radiation exposure is reduced by reducing tube currents and tube voltage and increasing table pitch, although image noise is increased and affects the diagnostic efficacy.\textsuperscript{8}

Filtered back projection is the traditional CT image reconstruction algorithm that relies on mathematical assumptions of X-ray beam attenuations from different angles. It limits the effect of dose reduction, as CT images are not consistently produced if the tube current

---

**Figure 1.** Chest radiograph showing diffuse bronchiectasis and scarring (in keeping with cystic fibrosis) and an area of consolidation near the left lung base with a probable cavity (arrow).

**Figure 2.** Ultra-low-dose computed tomography using model-based iterative construction showing a pulmonary abscess measuring 2.2 × 3.1 cm in the left lower lobe (arrows) with a central cavity.
is substantially reduced. On the contrary, iterative reconstruction techniques repeatedly iterate the image reconstruction to better estimate these mathematical assumptions and therefore produce images with lower noise. Iterative reconstruction algorithms consist of three key components. First, artificial object data are created from a volumetric object estimate. Second, the estimated data are then compared with the actual data. Third, the difference between the two data sets is projected back on to the volumetric object estimate for further correction. This cycle is repeated until the difference between the estimated and measured data sets is within an acceptable range.

Compared with traditional CT, low-dose CT with iterative construction is nearly identical in terms of overall image quality and low-contrast resolution, with radiation dose reduction of 32% to 65%. MBIR uses a more complex system of prediction models. It accounts for the optics of the scanner (including focal spot and detector size) and the noise of the system (photons statistics and electronic noise). Image quality of CT reconstructed with MBIR can remain diagnostic, even though the radiation dose is markedly reduced. Nonetheless, MBIR is computationally expensive and needs a high level of optimisation to achieve its intended performance. It requires a long reconstruction time (30-45 minutes for a single dataset). Future technological advancements may help to overcome these limitations.

Ultra-low-dose CT with MBIR can produce diagnostic-quality images with a radiation level comparable to chest radiograph. It may become a diagnostic tool for cystic fibrosis patients when future technological advancements can shorten the long computational time.
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